



## Report on Online Moot Court Session in Property Law and Competition Law on 13th October 2020

By: Dr Souvik Chatterji (HOD, Law, JISU)

On 13th October, 2020, online Moot Court Session in Property Law and Competition Law happened in google-meet platform between 9 am to 10 am.



In Competition Law, the problem was related to corporate leniency under section 46 of Competition Act, 2002 where a number of telecom companies approached the CCI.



Amit Ganguly represented the plaintiff side while Abhratanu Sarkar represented the defendant side.



While Amit Ganguly brought issues relating to jurisdiction of CCI and NCLAT where TRAI and TDSAT also had jurisdiction, Abhratanu Sarkar raised issues relating to number of players whom immunity can be given considering the lesser penalty regulations.



Plaintiff and defendant send memorials on behalf of plaintiff and defendant. They were marked on that basis.

In Property Law, the problem was related to agreement for sale under section 54 of Transfer of Property Act, 1882, and specific performance of contract.



Shovana Kolay represented the plaintiff side and argued in respect of rights of buyer once earnest deposit had been paid.



Upasana Sengupta represented the defendant side and argues in respect of choice of seller in choosing the transferee.



Plaintiff and defendant send memorials on behalf of plaintiff and defendant. They were marked on that basis.